



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,433	12/30/2003	Michael R. Clark	31419.23990	1979
26781	7590	08/17/2007	EXAMINER	
BROUSE MCDOWELL LPA			LUONG, VINH	
388 SOUTH MAIN STREET				
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44311			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/750,433	CLARK ET AL.	
	Examiner Vinh T. Luong	Art Unit 3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Vinh T. Luong. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Heather M. Barnes. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5, 8-10, 12, 13, 16-18, 20, 23-25 in the Amendment after Final filed on 8/2/07.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Vinh T. Luong  
Primary Examiner



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired about the reason as to why the amendment after final filed on August 2, 2007 did not put the instant case in the condition for allowance. The Examiner informed the Applicant that the Examiner had an allowability conference on August 8, 2007 with his SPE and a Primary Examiner, the conferees of that allowability conference concluded that amended Claims 1 and 12 would not be patentable in view of recent legal precedent set forth in Ex Parte Smith, 83 USPQ2d 1509 (BPAI, 6/25/07) cited KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007). Applicant informed the Examiner that Applicant intended to submit a new amendment after final wherein Applicant would cancel all of the claims except Claims 6, 7, 21, and 22 that were indicated to be allowable.



Vinh T. Luong  
Primary Examiner